

INDIANA DEPARTMENT of ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204 (800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • Fax (317) 233-6647 • www.idem.IN.gov

Mike BraunClint WoodsGovernorCommissioner

November 14, 2025

<u>Via Email to:</u> twarthen@mooresville.in.gov Mr. Tom Warthen, Town Council President Town of Mooresville 41 E. Harrison St. Mooresville, Indiana 46158

Dear Mr. Warthen:

Re: Inspection Summary/ Noncompliance Letter
Mooresville WWTP

NPDES Permit No. IN0023825 Mooresville, Morgan County

An inspection of the above-referenced facility or location was conducted by a representative of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Water Quality, pursuant to IC 13-18-3-9. A summary of the inspection is provided below:

Date(s) of Inspection: November 12, 2025

Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection Inspection Results: Violations were observed.

The following concerns were noted:

IDEM inspector Kevin Stark was notified by Calvin Boultbee, Mooresville Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Superintendent, of an upset at the WWTP. The upset appears to be due to ongoing high strength industrial wastestreams received. The upset also appears to be linked to numerous odor complaints received by IDEM since September 2025.

The below information is detailed as a result of the on-site inspection.

The Enforcement evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating. According to Mooresville's Additional Action Plan, dated July 10, 2025, all pretreatment corrective actions were resolved in April 2025. Noted violations under the "Pretreatment" section of this inspection report indicate otherwise. (see Pretreatment section of this inspection report for list of violations)

These concerns will be forwarded to the OWQ Enforcement Section for consideration

in conjunction with your Agreed Order, Case No. 2014-22631-W and will not require an immediate response. You will be notified of any required action and any questions can be directed to your Enforcement Case Manager.

The concerns noted below are not addressed in your Agreed Order and will require a response as detailed in the closing paragraph.

- 1. The Receiving Waters Appearance was rated as marginal due to slight discoloration, some solids, and notable foaming observed at the outfall (see attached photographs). Residuals indicative of partially treated effluent were observed at the outfall. Part I. A. 2 of the permit prohibits the discharge from any and all point sources specified within this permit from causing the receiving waters, including the mixing zone, to contain substances, materials, floating debris, oil, or scum: 1) that will settle to form putrescent or otherwise objectionable deposits; 2) that are in amounts sufficient to be unsightly or deleterious; 3) that produce color, visible oil sheen, odor, or other conditions in such degree as to create nuisance.
- 2. Effluent Appearance was rated as unsatisfactory due to noticeable discoloration and solids observed in the effluent (see attached photograph). Part I. A. 2 of the permit prohibits the discharge from any point sources specified within this permit from causing receiving waters, including the mixing zone, to contain substances, materials, floating debris, oil, or scum: (1) that will settle to form putrescent or otherwise objectionable deposits; (2) that are in amounts sufficient to be unsightly or deleterious; (3) that produce color, visible oil sheen, odor, or other conditions in such degree as to create nuisance; (4) which are in amounts sufficient to be acutely toxic to, or to otherwise severely injure or kill aquatic life, other animals, plants, or humans; (5) which are in concentrations or combinations that will cause or contribute to the growth of aquatic plants or algae to such a degree as to create a nuisance, be unsightly, or otherwise impair the designated uses.
- 3. The Pretreatment evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating for the following issues:
 - A. The facility is not routinely enforcing SUO limits or surcharges on it's industrial users (IUs).
 - B. Staff have yet to complete a full IU survey and do not routinely inspect industries to determine wastewater characteristics of the IUs.
 - C. Mooresville's approved design loadings based on Construction Permit No. L-0347 dated May 5, 2010 are 6,104 lbs/day CBOD and 6805 lbs/day TSS. Based on preliminary MRO data for October and November 2025, the plant is being organically overloaded on numerous days. This excessive organic loading appears to be causing pass through/interference at the POTW.

These are violations of:

A. Part III. C. 1. which states that the permittee shall implement and enforce its SUO.

- B. Part III. B. 2. 6. which states that the permittee shall provide sufficient resources and qualified personnel to implement the pretreatment program requirements contained in Part III of this permit.
- C. Part III. C. 2. c. 3. which states that the permittee shall inspect any IU, including an IU with an IWP permit issued by the CA, as necessary to: (1) achieve and/or maintain compliance with the requirements of this NPDES permit; and/or (2) determine compliance with the requirements of the permittee's SUO.
- D. Part III. C. 9. which states that the permittee shall conduct an industrial survey at a minimum frequency of once every two (2) years. The permittee shall utilize the completed survey forms to identify changes in operations and/or volume and nature of the discharge from each IU.

Part II. A. 1. of your permit requires you to comply with its terms and conditions. Any noncompliance with the terms of your permit may subject you to an enforcement action which can include the imposition of penalties. You are required to immediately take all necessary measures to comply with the terms and conditions of your NPDES Permit, specifically those violations identified above.

Within 10 days of receipt of this letter, a written detailed response documenting correction of the concerns listed above and a plan with timelines for assuring future compliance

must be submitted to this office. Failure to respond adequately to this letter may result in additional formal enforcement action. Please direct your response to this letter to our letterhead address or via email to wwwViolationResponse@idem.IN.gov. Any questions should be directed to Kevin Stark at 812-525-9411 or by email to kstark@idem.in.gov. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kim Rohr, Chief Wastewater Inspection Section Office of Water Quality

Enclosure



NPDES Wastewater Facility Inspection Report INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

NPDES Permit Number:		Fac	Facility Type:				Facility Classification:		TEMPO AI ID		
IN0023825			Municipality			Major	III				
Date	Date(s) of Inspection: November 12, 2025										
Type of Inspection: Reconnaissance Inspection											
	and Location of Facility	Inspected:	ted:			Receiving Waters:			Pern	nit Expiration Date:	
	resville WWTP									1/31/2026	
499 South Park Dr.			County:				White Lick Creek				gn Flow:
Mooresville			IN 46158 Morgan							3.5MGD	
	Site Representative(s): st Name Last Name Title Email Phone										
Calv			Superinte	endent			ee@mooresville.in.gov 317-831-0625			31-0625	
Dus	tin Beard	Pretreatment wbeard@mooresville.in.gov Coordinator									
Was a verbal summary of findings presented to the on-site representative? Yes											
Certifi	ed Operator:	Num	Number: Class: Effective Date: E			e: Ex	xpiration Date: Email:				
Calvin Boultbee			19596 IV 7-1-24				6-30-27 cboultbee@mooresville.ir			.gov	'
Cyber Security Contact:											
Name					Email:		_				
Responsible Official: Mr. Tom Warthen, Town Council President Permittee: Town of Mooresv											
	E. Harrison St.	Courion	1 1001001				Email: twarthen@mooresville.in.gov				
							Phone: 317-691-6607 Contacted			Contacted?	
Моо	resville, Indiana 461	58					Fax: Yes				
				II	NSPECTION	ON FI	NDINGS				
	O Conditions evalua	ated were	found to	be satis	factory at t	he tim	ne of the inspection. (5)			
	O Violations were d	iscovered	but corre	ected du	ring the ins	pectio	on. (4)				
	O Potential problem	ıs were di	iscovered	or obse	rved. (3)						
	Violations were discovered and require a submittal from you and/or a follow-up inspection by IDEM. (2)										
	Violations were discovered and may subject you to an appropriate enforcement response. (1)										
							RING INSPECTION				
(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated											
U	Receiving Waters		N	Facility		N	Self-Monitoring	U	Enforcem	ent	
U	Effluent		N	Operat		N	Flow Measurement	t U	Pretreatm		
N	Permit		N	Mainte	nance	N	Laboratory	N	Effluent L	.imit	s Compliance
N	Collection System		N		Disposal		Records/Reports	N	Other:		
				DETA	ILED ARE	A E\	/ALUATIONS				
Receiving Waters:											
Comments: The Receiving Waters Appearance was rated as marginal due to slight discoloration, some solids, and notable											
foaming observed at the outfall (see attached photographs). Residuals indicative of partially treated effluent were											
observed at the outfall. Part I. A. 2 of the permit prohibits the discharge from any and all point sources specified											
	within this permit from causing the receiving waters, including the mixing zone, to contain substances, materials, floating debris, oil, or scum: 1) that will settle to form putrescent or otherwise objectionable deposits; 2) that are										
											Lare
in amounts sufficient to be unsightly or deleterious; 3) that produce color, visible oil sheen, odor, or other conditions in such degree as to create nuisance.											

Effluent:

Effluent Appearance was rated as unsatisfactory due to noticeable discoloration and solids observed in the effluent (see attached photograph). Part I. A. 2 of the permit prohibits the discharge from any point sources

specified within this permit from causing receiving waters, including the mixing zone, to contain substances, materials, floating debris, oil, or scum: (1) that will settle to form putrescent or otherwise objectionable deposits; (2) that are in amounts sufficient to be unsightly or deleterious; (3) that produce color, visible oil sheen, odor, or other conditions in such degree as to create nuisance; (4) which are in amounts sufficient to be acutely toxic to, or to otherwise severely injure or kill aquatic life, other animals, plants, or humans; (5) which are in concentrations or combinations that will cause or contribute to the growth of aquatic plants or algae to such a degree as to create a nuisance, be unsightly, or otherwise impair the designated uses.

Enforcement:

2014-22631-W

Charlotte McFerran, CMcferra@idem.in.gov, 317-233-5151

Comments:

Enforcement evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating. According to Mooresville's Additional Action Plan, dated July 10, 2025, all pretreatment corrective actions were resolved in April 2025. Noted violations under the "Pretreatment" section of this inspection report indicate otherwise (see Pretreatment section of this inspection report for list of violations).

Pretreatment:

Comments:

The Pretreatment evaluation generated an unsatisfactory rating for the following issues:

- A. The facility is not routinely enforcing SUO limits or surcharges on it's industrial users (IUs).
- B. Staff have yet to complete all IU surveys and do not routinely inspect industries to determine wastewater characteristics of the IUs.
- C. Mooresville's approved design loadings based on Construction Permit No. L-0347 dated May 5, 2010 are 6,104 lbs/day CBOD and 6805 lbs/day TSS. Based on preliminary MRO data for October and November 2025, the plant is being organically overloaded on numerous days. This excessive organic loading appears to be causing pass through/interference at the POTW.

These are violations of:

- A. Part III. C. 1. which states that the permittee shall implement and enforce its SUO.
- B. Part III. B. 2. 6. which states that the permittee shall provide sufficient resources and qualified personnel to implement the pretreatment program requirements contained in Part III of this permit.
- C. Part III. C. 2. c. 3. which states that the permittee shall inspect any IU, including an IU with an IWP permit issued by the CA, as necessary to: (1) achieve and/or maintain compliance with the requirements of this NPDES permit; and/or (2) determine compliance with the requirements of the permittee's SUO.
- D. Part III. C. 9. which states that the permittee shall conduct an industrial survey at a minimum frequency of once every two (2) years. The permittee shall utilize the completed survey forms to identify changes in operations and/or volume and nature of the discharge from each IU.

Effluent Limits Compliance:

No 1. Were DMRs reviewed as part of the inspection?

Comments:

IDEM REPRESENTATIVE							
Inspector Name:	Email:	Phone Number:					
Kevin Stark	kstark@idem.IN.gov	812-525-9411					
Other staff participating in the inspection:							
Name(s)	Email(s)						
Holly Zurcher	hzurcher@idem.in.gov						
IDEM MANAGER REVIEW							
IDEM Manager:		Date:					
Kim Rohr		11/14/2025					

Inspection Photographs





Mooresville WWTP

Photographer: Kevin Stark

Date: 11/12/2025 Time: 11:00:00 AM

Others Present: Holly Zurcher

Location/Description:

Photo showing plume from untreated/partially treated wastewater being discharged into the receiving

stream.



Facility:

Mooresville WWTP

Photographer: Kevin Stark

Date: 11/12/2025 Time: 11:00:00 AM

Others Present: Holly Zurcher

Location/Description:

Close up photo of obvious signs of solids being discharged into the receiving stream.



Facility:

Mooresville WWTP

Photographer: Kevin Stark

Date: 11/12/2025 Time: 11:00:00 AM

Others Present: Holly Zurcher

Location/Description:

Photo of effluent chamber showing heavy solids discharging.



Facility:

Mooresville WWTP

Photographer: Kevin Stark

Date: 11/12/2025 Time: 11:00:00 AM

Others Present: Holly Zurcher

Location/Description:

Secondary clarifier showing discoloration and solids leaving the system.